

On Generic Frequency Decomposition.¹

Part 2: Phase decomposition

Sossio Vergara

Universidad ORT Montevideo, Uruguay

ITI B. Pascal Rome, Italy

sossio@montevideo.com.uy

Abstract

In the first part of the article it was discussed the possibility of function analysis using as a basis a couple of nonorthogonal functions and hence vectorial decomposition and a pure frequency dictionary. Here we switch to polar coordinates (a phase – frequency dictionary) so needing only one nonorthogonal function as a basis. This decomposition appears to have an even greater range of application and many widespread consequences.

It will be shown that, with the analysis method developed, any function, satisfying a rather loose requisite, can be a basis. The theory, with its two representations: vectorial and polar, appears to be the natural generalization of the Fourier Theorem to the case of nonorthogonal bases. The implications of the tool range from a reformulation of the eigenproblem to real world applications. Examples of analysis and reconstruction of functions using the given algorithms and generic bases will be given. Some applications that can promptly benefit from the tools developed here will be pointed out.

Keywords: Fourier theorem, function analysis, wavelets, nonorthogonal basis, polar.

¹ Submitted to Digital Signal Processing Journal

Introduction

In the first part [8] it was shown that, given a certain reconstruction algorithm, a couple of functions satisfying quite loose requisites can be a basis when using the vectorial coordinates and a new analysis methodology. Thus being the basis nonorthogonal, the generated function space is non Euclidian. Furthermore it was anticipated that the Indirect method of analysis could be adapted to polar coordinates, and hence only one function as a basis. This second part is therefore dedicated to the application of the methodology to phase decomposition.

It is well known that the Fourier Theorem has two flavors: vectorial and polar decompositions and relative reconstructions. The switch between the two coordinate systems is trivial due to the orthogonality of the Fourier basis, sinusoids or complex exponentials. This essential property of the basis has as a consequence that the vectorial coordinate decomposition is presently the preferred analysis tool, because the result of the decomposition is a set of homogeneous coefficients. The polar decomposition on the other hand results in a couple of coefficients, modulus and phase, that are dissimilar in nature. When employing nonorthogonal bases the vectorial and the polar form of decomposition are not directly interchangeable. Still, the phase decomposition on nonorthogonal bases is more “natural” and has many applications unobtainable with the vectorial counterpart. We outline a fast analysis algorithm and indicate some of the consequences and applications that can readily benefit from the theory. To do so we first need to verify that a phased reconstruction algorithm is viable and find out the requirements that allow a function to be a basis. Note that here we use interchangeably the terms polar and phased, although the latter is probably more appropriate for nonorthogonal bases.

Phase “de-construction”

We use here the same indirect methodology developed in the first part of the paper, just enforcing that the function and its reconstructed counterpart have the same Fourier components (harmonics). In point of fact the Fourier series can also be written in the form [4] [9]:

$$f(x) = C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \cos(kx + \varphi_k) \quad (1)$$

It is obvious that, given any function $S(x)$:

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n \cos(nx + \vartheta_n) \quad (2)$$

with simple arithmetic one can obtain any modulus and phase combination from $S(kx)$ at a specific frequency k as:

$$b_k \cos(kx + \varphi_k) = \left\{ \frac{b_k}{s_1} S[k(x - \vartheta_1) + \varphi_k] \right\}_k = [M_k S(kx + \Phi_k)]_k \quad (3)$$

The subscript k of the brackets indicates that we are interested only in the k -th Fourier harmonic. From the (3) M_k and Φ_k can be univocally determined from the error function, for example calculating iteratively starting from frequency 1 up. So at each cycle of the analysis the (3) is used to find the M_k and Φ_k relative to the error function $f_{e(k-1)}$ i.e. the difference between the $f(x)$ and the previous reconstruction up to $k-1$.

Then the (3) ensures that the reconstruction algorithm based on phase coordinates and a generic function is viable.

Again we note here that the (3) was chosen for consistency with the Fourier Theorem. In reality many different reconstruction algorithms are possible; for example one can force Φ_k be between zero and half period: $0 \leq \Phi_k < P/2$, but note that in this case M_k is allowed to be negative.

Once we are assured that a given reconstruction algorithm is viable, we can develop from this one the corresponding analysis, according to the paradigm introduced in the first part of the paper. A consequence of the (3) is that any non-zero function with zero integral over a period spans the entire L^2 space (of periodic functions); while $S(x)$ is a (converging) basis if it has most of the energy at the fundamental harmonic:

$$|s_1|^2 > \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |s_n|^2 \quad (\text{Convergence requirement}) \quad (4)$$

as shown in the Appendix.

Consequently the Fourier Theorem (1) can be extrapolated to the new decomposition theory as:

$$f(x) = C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k S(kx + \Phi_k) \quad (5)$$

A fast analysis algorithm, that takes advantage of the FFT by working directly in the frequency domain has been written, although other forms are viable.

To summarize the “de-construction” method: we define an amplitude scaled and shifted version $S'(x)$ of the original function $S(x)$ (Eq. 2) such that it has a simple cosine with phase 0 and modulus 1 as fundamental:

$$S'(x) = \frac{1}{s_1} S(x - \vartheta_1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{s_1} \cos(n(x - \vartheta_1) + \vartheta_n) \quad (6)$$

It is always possible to do so and a more compact equation will result at the end.

If such $S'(x)$ can be used to reconstruct any other function, then it makes sense to define a synthesis or, changing to the continuous, an Anti-Transform equation in polar form:

$$f(x) = T_S^{-1} [M(\omega), \Phi(\omega)]_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} = \int_{\omega > 0}^{\infty} M(\omega) S'(\omega x + \Phi(\omega)) d\omega \quad (7)$$

Note how here the basis must be explicitly indicated in the anti-transform and that we consider $C_0=0$, as it is a simple constant added to both sides of the equation. The integral is now one-sided because of the polar coordinates.

Starting from this Anti-Transform, we can define the new generalized Transform in recursive form, as the Fourier Transform computed at the frequency $\omega_2 > 0$ of the difference of $f(x)$ and the reconstruction (Eq. 7) up to ω_2 :

$$T_S [f(x)]_{\omega_2} = T_F (f(x) - T_S^{-1} [M(\omega_1), \Phi(\omega_1)]_{\omega_1 < \omega_2})_{\omega_2} = [M(\omega_2), \Phi(\omega_2)] \quad (8)$$

Here $T_S[f(x)]_{\omega_2}$ is the transform of $f(x)$ in the basis $S'(x)$ at the frequency ω_2 . $T_F(\dots)_{\omega_2}$ is the Fourier transform in polar coordinates computed at ω_2 . We can here employ explicitly modulus and phase of the Fourier Transform because we switched from $S(x)$ to the $S'(x)$. Once we computed the parameters $[M(\omega), \Phi(\omega)]$ we can scale them to reflect the original $S(x)$. It is almost useless to remark that the given algorithms end up to be Fourier's own when an orthogonal basis is employed. As well known the Fourier Transform has some nice properties, some of these hold true also for the new transform while others need to be changed to take into account the difference of basis.

A property that is applicable also to the new Transform is the proportionality. For the Fourier Transform if $F(\omega) = T_F(f(x))$

Then: $T_F(k f(x)) = k T_F(f(x)) = k F(\omega)$

Note that as we use phased decomposition, the result of the generic transform is a set of couples of modulus and phase $\{M, \Phi\}$ and the phases are unchanged. So if T_S is the generic transform in the basis S :

$$T_S(f(x)) = \{M(\omega), \Phi(\omega)\}$$

then:

$$T_S(kf(x)) = \{kM(\omega), \Phi(\omega)\}$$

The (time) translation also holds, in this case only the phase will be modified:

$$T_S(f(x - x_0)) = \{M(\omega), \Phi(\omega) - \omega x_0\}$$

Obviously T_S is in general not linear with respect to the sum of functions.




Fig.1: A function analyzed / reconstructed using a generic basis.
At lower left there is a plot of the basis.




Fig.2: The same analysis/reconstruction of Fig.1 extended to N=30

Results

In Fig.1 a function is analyzed and reconstructed using a generic basis and up to an order $N = 10$. The original function is dotted while the re-synthesized function is solid, in the lower left part of the graph there is a plot of the chosen basis. In Fig. 2 the same function with the same basis has been plotted but now the approximation is extended up to an order $N = 30$; the noise is now lower and moved to higher frequency as reasonably expected from a converging reconstruction. If we instead analyze and reconstruct a function using a “diverging basis” (a function for which the convergence requirement (4) does not hold), the noise will increase with the order N of the series.

The set of functions that satisfy the convergence requirement (4) includes the square wave too. The square wave is a particularly interesting function because, having only two levels: $+1$ and -1 , greatly simplifies the mathematics (no multiplication needed, just a change of sign).

In Fig. 3 a sinusoid has been reconstructed by means of 21 square waves.

It is interesting to notice that somehow the opposite of the Gibbs’ phenomenon appears in the reconstructed sinusoid.

The Gibbs’ phenomenon is a ringing at the discontinuities of a square wave built as Fourier series; it is due to the rather slow convergence of the Fourier series to the square wave, and it is related to the fact that a sine is a continuous function and is incapable of reproducing discontinuities (as Lagrange pointed out) [9].

Conversely, the square wave has discontinuities and is incapable of point-wise reproduction of continuous functions. But the noise in Fig. 3 is at high frequency and can be eliminated with a simple low pass filtering, leading to a good reconstruction of the sinusoid. On the other hand, the Gibbs’ effect on a square wave built by a Fourier series, can be eliminated only at the cost of degrading the discontinuity. In this respect the square wave series can be superior to the Fourier series. At this point it would be interesting to compare the reconstruction of a sinusoid obtained by means of this tool, and by means of wavelets.

For example the Haar set [3], that is generated starting right from a square wave, by the usual operations of shift and scale, as shown in Fig. 4.

As can be seen, the wavelet reconstruction of the sine in Fig. 5 is poorer, in the sense that the RMS noise is higher, despite in Fig. 3 we used less components (21 square waves as opposed to 32 wavelets).




Fig. 3: The sinusoid built by 21 square waves (up to $N=55$)




Fig. 5: A sinusoid reconstructed by means of 32 Haar wavelets




Fig. 4: Example of Haar wavelets

The wavelets have indisputable advantages over the standard frequency decomposition in their capability to perform a multirate analysis and in being time localized [1][2][6]. However, the wavelet analysis – synthesis tool has some drawbacks: only few sets of wavelets are available, and they are quite poor as reconstruction medium, requiring great computing power in order to be usable in real time [5].

As a matter of fact, the wavelets carry both frequency and time information while the plain frequency analysis carries only frequency information, and the lower the information content, the lesser the carrier bandwidth needed.

An interesting application of the theory is in building generalized filters. If we analyze a $f(x)$ using as a basis $S(x)$, we get two functions of the “generic frequency”: the modulus $M(\omega)$ and the phase $\Phi(\omega)$; if we then multiply $M(\omega)$ with a transfer function $G(\omega)$ and then reconstruct the resulting signal via an inverse transform, what we get at the end is a “generalized filter”.

Let's look at this filter as a system; it is a system in the sense that once fixed the basis $S(x)$ and the transfer function $G(\omega)$ it is a black box with an input $f(x)$ and an output y .

The interesting part is that the system, with the reconstruction algorithm defined above, is Time Invariant although not generally Linear.

A system G is defined Time Invariant if a delay in the input results just in a delay of the output:

$$\text{If: } G[x(t)] = y(t), \text{ then } G[x(t + \tau)] = y(t + \tau) \quad (9)$$

A system G is defined Linear if satisfies both:

$$\text{Homogeneity} \quad G[\alpha x(t)] = \alpha G[x(t)] \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Superposition} \quad G[x_1(t) + x_2(t)] = G[x_1(t)] + G[x_2(t)] \quad (11)$$

Let us now consider an all-pass, or unitary, system; i.e. a system with a transfer function $G(\omega) = 1$. This system can have any basis, and any function at the input will be analyzed and reconstructed unchanged. This system is obviously Linear Time Invariant (LTI). Instead if we change to a different, generic $G(\omega)$, the system still satisfies the (9) and (10) but, due to the non-orthogonality of the basis, not the Superposition (11); hence this new system will generally be Nonlinear Homogeneous Time Invariant (HTI).

This fact leads to the inapplicability of the convolution as is currently defined in the time domain, while it still applies if we define it in the usual way in frequency domain as:

$$f(t) \text{ conv}_S g(t) = \int_{\omega>0}^{\infty} F_S(\omega) G_S(\omega) S[\alpha\omega + \Theta_S(\omega) + \Gamma_S(\omega)] d\omega \quad (12)$$

where $[F_S(\omega), \Theta_S(\omega)]$ is the Transform of $f(x)$ in the basis $S(x)$ and likewise for $g(t)$. The porting of the convolution theorem to the new theory is thus possible but only including explicitly the basis, even in the time domain equation.

The most interesting result of the new decomposition on polar coordinates is that even for these nonlinear systems the *eigenproblem* can be defined, and it is easy to verify that the *eigenfunctions* of this class of systems are the basis functions themselves.

The *eigenfunctions* of a system are the functions that, when used as input, experience only a change of amplitude and phase at the output, but the shape remains. In mathematical terms an operator U , equivalent to a system, has a nonzero *eigenfunction* ψ if:

$$U\psi = \lambda\psi \quad (13)$$

The scalar λ is called *eigenvalue*. The problem must be rewritten in phase coordinates and it will be discussed in a forthcoming paper. For the moment let us simply imagine a system that has the square wave as a basis, and, as an ideal low pass filter, lets only the first component out. Obviously when entering a square wave at frequency 1, we get at the output the same square wave; then the square wave (the basis) is an *eigenfunction* of the system. While using a sinusoid at the input we get again a square wave at the output; so the sinusoid (the complex exponential) is not an *eigenfunction* of this system.

This allows us to generalize the spectral theorem even for these nonorthogonal bases, and the corresponding nonlinear systems.

The porting of the equations to discrete time is straightforward and even mandatory in order to use numerical methods; so that we find ourselves in the realm of the Discrete Transform. There are some differences between the standard DFT and the Discrete Transform on a generic basis; the main is that while the DFT of an N-points signal is symmetric around $N/2$, this generic DT stops at $N/2$ frequency and is zero between $N/2$ and N , but only if the reconstruction is perfect, otherwise, from $N/2$ to N the noise, due to the aliasing, shows up. This halving is the result of the use of the polar coordinates in the analysis.

Some of the properties of the Fourier theory are directly applicable to the new one; while the porting of the entire “corpus” of theorems is still needed. For example, the very Nyquist theorem, cornerstone of the entire DSP, could be generalized to accept other bases than the sinusoids.

Applications

The theory introduced here has many mathematical and physical implications that will be examined in a forthcoming paper. We focus now just on possible applications concerning DSP.

In point of fact a greater freedom in the choice of the basis opens up a whole new class of applications.

For example, a prototype hardware sound synthesizer based on square waves, has already been built by students at the ORT University of Montevideo, Uruguay, using an FPGA. Compared to a standard, sinusoid-based synthesizer, the new architecture does not need the Look Up Table, nor a multiplier. While a synthesizer based on square waves has been previously proposed [10], it was based on vectorial decomposition, on the contrary the new one takes advantage of polar decomposition and the new fast analysis algorithms so further slashing the computing demand. The estimated silicon area saving is about 70% compared to a sinusoid based additive synthesizer. The output of this square-wave synthesizer, fed to a simple RC filter has a good noise figure. The system is very well suited to software and low cost applications [7].

Applications can also be foreseen in the field of data compression. In this case the basis can be tailored in order to achieve the highest compression ratio, exploiting the characteristics of the data. As a matter of fact this new branch of research, - i.e. find the best possible basis for the representation of a class of signals - can be used also to reveal the characteristics of complex systems. For example Vibrational Analysis is a technique for the study of the intrinsic dynamic characteristics of mechanical systems as a way to rate the state of their wealth. Even relatively simple mechanical systems have complex vibrational signatures that can be used to predict a potential failure; up to now this research is mainly based on the FFT, while an analysis based on complex waveforms can result more effective in revealing the system characteristics.

Other fields that could benefit from the increased degree of freedom in the basis choice are the signal (or pattern) recognition and cryptography.

Usually, for recognition, an FFT of the signal is done, and the spectrum is compared to a reference spectrum. Having the possibility of using different bases, one can make the analysis using a reference signal as a basis.

Another interesting application is in the field of noise suppression or signal separation, or as a way of building matched filters. If one analyzes a signal using one of the expected components, it is easy to separate noise from signal, or two signals that have been added.

As an example let us consider the signals in Fig. 6; at the top there is a square wave with an arbitrary phase delay, at the bottom there is the same wave with some high frequency noise added. If we now analyze this resulting signal using the square wave as a basis, we obtain the generalized modulus spectrum of Fig. 7, the phase function is not plotted. Here, as we used the square wave as a basis, the first component of the spectrum represents the square wave at the fundamental frequency. The remaining part of the spectrum is relative to the decomposition of the noise in terms of series of square waves. So, from the first component we can reconstruct the square wave (which is the equivalent of a "generalized" low pass filtering). While, applying an high pass filtering, i.e. retaining all the components of the spectrum except the first one, we can separate the noise portion as shown in Fig. 8.

Notice that this operation would be impossible using the standard sinusoidal filters, as the square wave has a (sinusoidal) spectrum that extends from frequency 1 to infinite.

It is easy to extend these results to multi-dimensional signals as required by image processing. These and other applications are actually under investigation.




Fig. 6: Square wave with arbitrary phase (top).
The same with some noise added (bottom)




Fig. 7: "Spectrum" relative to the square wave plus noise analyzed using a square wave basis.




Fig. 8: First component of the "generic spectrum" (top).
Reconstructed noise signal from all the remaining components (bottom)

Conclusions

This article was intended as a survey to a new territory entirely to explore.

The objective was to show that a new decomposition method can be devised that works both in vectorial and polar coordinates. The theory appears to be the natural generalization of the Fourier Theorem to the case of nonorthogonal bases.

Consequently a new paradigm seems opportune, to grant equal dignity to all the L^2 bases and, even more, a new concept of "generalized frequency" should be introduced that is not limited to a sinusoidal waveform.

The new tools, apart from simplifying the computation in some cases, can lead to interesting developments and applications, from the purely mathematical ones to immediate technical advances. The concept of generic filter was introduced. The algorithms developed for the decomposition give consistent results, are straightforward, and readily usable. Some applications, that can immediately take advantage of the theory, were given.

Although much work is still needed in developing the entire theory, so far the results are promising.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank some people whose help, support and friendship has been essential to this work: professors F. Giordano of Università Parthenope Naples, S. Cavaliere and I. Ortosecco of Università Federico II Naples, P. Parascandolo of INFN Naples, P. Corbo and G. Langwagen of Universidad ORT Montevideo Uruguay.

Appendix

Only the necessary requisites for the convergence will be given here, while the broader sufficient requisites are yet an open question.

We split the proof in two parts, in the first part we find out that any $S(x)$ spans the entire space (it forms a complete system); in the second part we shall look for the conditions that make of the $S(x)$ a well behaved (“converging”) basis.

Given a periodic function $f(x)$ that can be expressed as Fourier series

$$f(x) = \sum_k [m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k)] \quad (A1)$$

and given another nonzero periodic function with Fourier series:

$$S(x) = \sum_p [s_p \cos(px + \phi_p)] \quad (A2)$$

It is easy to see that $S(x)$ can be used to recreate any modulus and phase combination at any specific frequency k as:

$$m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k) = [M_k S(kx + \Theta_k)]_k \quad (A3)$$

As the cosine is an orthogonal function we can separate the components of $f(x)$ at each frequency:

$$F1: \quad m_1 \cos(x + \vartheta_1) = M_1 s_1 \cos(1(x + \Theta_1) + \phi_1) \quad (A4)$$

$$F2: \quad m_2 \cos(2x + \vartheta_2) = M_1 s_2 \cos(2(x + \Theta_1) + \phi_2) + M_2 s_1 \cos(1(2x + \Theta_2) + \phi_1) \quad (A5)$$

Etc.

At the fundamental harmonic F1 we can separate the variables and find the unique solutions:

$$M_1 = \frac{m_1}{s_1} \quad (A6)$$

$$\Theta_1 = \vartheta_1 - \phi_1 \quad (A7)$$

We can then place these two values in the equation (A5) and find the new M_2 and Θ_2 ; iterating the procedure we can reconstruct the exact Fourier modulus and phase of the function $f(x)$ at any frequency by means of a series of the functions $S(nx)$.

So far we proved that any function $S(x)$ spans the entire L^2 space when using the above computing method to determine the modulus and phase coefficients.

Note that the only requirement on $S(x)$ is to have an $s_1 \neq 0$; this requirement actually is self-evident, as if $s_1 = 0$ we are talking of a $S(x)$ at an higher frequency than $f(x)$.

Now, in order to check whether $S(x)$ is a basis, we need to verify under which conditions the series of the $S(nx)$ converges to $f(x)$. We can express it a little more rigorously requiring that the norm of the error function tends to zero as N increases (following the definition of metrics in Hilbert spaces):

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{eN}(x)\| = \|f(x) - \sum_{n=1}^N M_n S(nx + \Theta_n)\| = 0 \quad (A8)$$

As the norm is always positive, we can reduce the above problem to the search of requirements on the function $S(x)$ that verify:

$$\|f_{eN1}\| > \|f_{eN2}\| \quad \forall N1 < N2 \quad (A9)$$

We can start from zero or, no reconstruction at all; then the error is the function itself; we then require that the first approximation has an error lower than this:

$$\|f(x)\| > \|f_{e1}(x)\| \quad (A10)$$

From A1 and A4

$$\|f_{e1}(x)\| = \|f(x) - M_1 S(x + \Theta_1)\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k) - M_1 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} s_p \cos(px + \phi_p + \Theta_1) \right\| \quad (A11)$$

We can rewrite it as:

$$\|m_1 \cos(x + \vartheta_1) - M_1 s_1 \cos(x + \phi_1 + \Theta_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k) - M_1 \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} s_p \cos(px + \phi_p + \Theta_1)\| \quad (A12)$$

But, as M_1 and Θ_1 are the results of (A6) and (A7), the result of the first two terms is zero:

$$\|f_{e1}(x)\| = \left\| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k) - M_1 \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} s_p \cos(px + \phi_p + \Theta_1) \right\| \quad (A13)$$

while we can rewrite (A1) as

$$\|f(x)\| = \|m_1 \cos(x + \vartheta_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k)\| \quad (A14)$$

But from (A6) and (A7) we can substitute the first term as:

$$\|f(x)\| = \|M_1 s_1 \cos(x + \Theta_1 + \phi_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} m_k \cos(kx + \vartheta_k)\| \quad (A15)$$

We use the triangle inequality on the (A13) to get:

$$\|f_{e1}(x)\| \leq \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} m_k^2 + M_1^2 \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} s_p^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A16)$$

But the (A15) is:

$$\|f(x)\| = \left[(M_1 s_1)^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} m_k^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A17)$$

Comparing (A16) and (A17) we can see that $\|f_{e1}(x)\| < \|f(x)\|$ is verified if:

$$|s_1|^2 > \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} |s_p|^2 \quad (A18)$$

That is the condition of convergence.

We can iterate the procedure, using each time as a new function $f(x)$ the error function found at the previous stage; and, as the limit for $k \rightarrow \infty$ of the Fourier coefficients m_k is zero, and the norm is always positive, the error in norm shall tend to zero with a speed given by the rate of the two terms of A(18). If the rate is zero, the basis is orthogonal, if the rate is > 1 the basis is not converging. To prove that $[S(x)]$ is a basis in L^2 we need to prove also that the reconstruction is unique, but this comes as a direct consequence of the use of the Fourier coefficients above and the uniqueness of the solution of the equations.

From the (A16) results that a function is a “converging” basis if most of its energy is at the fundamental frequency.

The same additional consideration that apply for the vectorial bases in Part 1, apply also for the polar decomposition, in particular:

If a function $S(x)$ do not satisfy the Convergence Requirement (A18) then the reconstruction can still be done but at the cost of an increasing noise at higher frequency; but we can again use different bases at different frequencies and hence any function can be employed as the low frequency part of a basis as soon as we employ a “converging basis” from a certain frequency on.

Bibliography

- [1] Daubechies I. *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 36(5):961-1005, 1990.
- [2] Daubechies, I. *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*. SIAM, Philadelphia. (1992).
- [3] Haar A. *Zur Theorie der orthogonalen Funktionensysteme*. Mathematische Annalen, 69:331-371, 1910
- [4] Jackson, D. (1941). *Fourier series and orthogonal polynomials*. Mathematical Assoc. of America, Washington, D.C.
- [5] Koc, C. K., Chen, G., Chuy, C. K. *Analysis of computational methods for wavelet signal decomposition and reconstruction*. IEEE Transaction on Aerospace and Electronic Systems. July 1994
- [6] Mallat, S. G., *A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: the wavelet representation*. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Recognition and Machine Intelligence. Vol. 11, No. 7, pp. 674-693, July 1989.
- [7] Vergara, S., *A synthesizer based on square waves*. Sound and Music Computing Conference 2005. arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/0804/0804.3241.pdf
- [8] Vergara, S., *On generic frequency decomposition. Part 1: vectorial decomposition*. Digital Signal Processing Jour. Vol. 17, March 2007, also: arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/0804/0804.3650.pdf
- [9] Walker, J.S. (1988). *Fourier Analysis*. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- [10] Wei,Y., *Frequency analysis based on general periodic functions*. Journal of Mathematical Physics Vol 40 N. 7, July 1999