

Phenomenological model of high- T_c superconductivity: a MCS model

A. Mourachkine

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Physique des Solides, CP233, Blvd. du Triomphe, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

We present a phenomenological model of high- T_c superconductivity in hole-doped cuprates: a Magnetic Coupling between Stripes (MCS) model. The MCS model is based upon experimental facts, namely, the presence of (i) stripes, (ii) spin fluctuations, and (iii) two order parameters (for pairing and long-range phase coherence) in hole-doped cuprates. We discuss also the superconductivity in electron-doped cuprates. The MCS model is not applicable to Rhutenate compounds where the superconductivity and magnetism coexist independently.

Doped copper-oxides possess unique physical properties. On the one hand, they *still* have the magnetic properties of their parent compounds which are antiferromagnetic (AF) Mott insulators. On the other hand, the CuO_2 planes *already* have metallic properties. Phase separation provides a way to reconcile these properties. Such unique combination leads to the appearance of superconductivity (SC) in cuprates. In addition to the predominant $d(x^2-y^2)$ (hereafter d-wave) order parameter (OP) in hole-doped cuprates, many experiments show the presence of s-wave OP [1,2].

Stripes. There is clear evidence for charge stripe [3] formation in Nd-doped LSCO and YBCO [4-6]. The dopant-induced holes choose to segregate in these materials into periodically-spaced stripes which separate AF insulating domains. Recently, it is found that the charge stripes in Nd-doped LSCO are intrinsically metallic [6]. This indicates that stripes, most likely, relate to the occurrence of the SC in cuprates. Otherwise, stripes have to be insulating as a consequence of charge-density-wave instability.

Spin fluctuations. The magnetic fluctuations of the Cu spins in AF domains at low frequencies are beginning to achieve the same universality across widely different materials with different values of T_c [5,7]. As for the bulk characteristics, the controlling factor in cuprates seems only to be the hole density in CuO_2 planes. Recent neutron studies show that AF spin exchange interactions are most likely responsible for the SC mechanism in cuprates [7,8].

OPs for pairing and long-range phase coherence. The SC requires pairing and long-range phase coherence. In conventional SCs, the pairing mechanism and mechanism of establishment of the phase coherence are identical: two electrons in each Cooper pair are attracted by phonons, and the phase coherence among all Cooper pairs is established also

by phonons. Both the phenomena occur almost simultaneously at T_c . In SC copper-oxides, there is a consensus that, in the underdoped regime, the pairing occurs above T_c when the long-range phase coherence is established, $T_{\text{pair}} > T_c$ [9-11]. Since the coherence length in the cuprates is very short ($\sim 15\text{-}20 \text{ \AA}$) and the density of the Cooper pairs is very low [10], the Cooper pairs, most likely, use another mechanism, different from the pairing one, in order to establish the long-range phase coherence. This leads to the appearance of two distinct OPs: for pairing and for establishing the long-range phase coherence [9,12]. The phases of the two OPs are locked to each other below T_c [1]. Fig. 1 shows the phase diagram of hole-doped cuprates [9].




Fig. 1. Phase diagram of hole-doped cuprates at low temperature: Δ_c is the magnitude of the OP for long-range phase coherence, and Δ_p is the magnitude of the OP for pairing. The p_m is a hole concentration with the maximum T_c (From Ref. 9).

Tunneling measurements show that the pairing OP in Bi2212 has predominantly SC origin [13]. The comparison of the Josephson $I_c R_n$ products corresponding to the coherent and pairing OPs in Bi2212 shows that the coherent OP has the maximum Josephson strength [14]. The later fact




Fig. 2. Static picture of the CuO_2 plane in frameworks of the MCS model, shown schematically. Spinons form pairs along charge stripes. The SC mechanism in AF insulating stripes is magnetic due to spin fluctuations. The temperature dependencies are shown schematically.

indicate that the coherent OP has the SC origin. Elsewhere [12], we found that, most likely, the coherent OP has the d-wave symmetry while the pairing OP has an anisotropic s-wave symmetry. The coherent OP has most likely the magnetic origin due to spin fluctuations [15].

Phenomenological model. Emery with co-workers presented a stripe model of SC in cuprates [16]. In their model, the spinon SC occurs along 1D charge stripes in CuO_2 planes at $T_{\text{pair}} > T_c$. Spinons form pairs on stripes due to pair hopping between the stripe and its AF environment. The coherent state of the spinon SC is established at T_c by the Josephson coupling between stripes. However, the stripe model can not explain the magnetic origin of the coherent OP. If instead of the Josephson coupling between stripes we introduce a magnetic interstripe coupling due to spin fluctuations, the stripe model may fit the data. Thus, we propose a Magnetic Coupling between Stripes (MCS) model which is entirely based upon experimental facts. In the MCS model, the coherent state of the spinon SC is established due to spin-waves which are excited into local AF domains by dynamically fluctuating stripes. In the MCS model, the SC in cuprates has two mechanisms: along charge stripes and perpendicular to stripes. Charge carriers exhibit different properties in different directions: *fermionic* along charge stripes and *polaronic* perpendicular to stripes. Fig. 2 shows schematically a snapshot of the CuO_2 plane in frameworks of the MCS model. The pseudogap in frameworks of the MCS model is similar to that in the stripe model with three characteristic tempera-

tures above T_c : $T_{\text{stripes}}^* > T_{\text{AF}}^* > T_{\text{pair}} > T_c$ [16]. T_{stripes}^* and T_{AF}^* correspond respectively to the formation of charge stripes and AF domains between stripes. In frameworks of the MCS model, any impurity (magnetic or nonmagnetic) doped into CuO_2 planes affects both the T_c value and density of the states. We found that many experimental data can be explained by using the MCS model [17], including tunneling [17,18] and neutron data [19].

NCCO. NCCO and its homologues present a very distinct set of properties with respect to the other cuprates. The analysis of many measurements suggests that the SC in NCCO is mediated by phonons [20,21]. It is possible that, in electron-doped cuprates, stripes carrying spinon SC in order to establish the long-range phase coherence couple to each other due to phonons. In this case, in electron-doped cuprates, a magnetic impurity doped into CuO_2 will suppress the SC stronger than a nonmagnetic impurity.

In conclusions, we presented the MCS phenomenological model of the SC in hole-doped cuprates, which is entirely based upon experimental facts. We discussed also the SC in electron-doped cuprates.

This work is supported by PAI 4/10.

REFERENCES

1. M. Willemin et al., Phys. Rev. B 57 (1998) 6137.
2. Q. Li et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4160.
3. J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 40 (1989) 7391.
4. J. Tranquada et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 338.
5. H. A. Mook et al., Nature 395 (1998) 580.
6. N. Ichikawa et al., cond-mat/9910037.
7. A. Balatsky and P. Bourges, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 5337.
8. J. P. Carbotte et al., Nature 401 (1999) 354.
9. G. Deutscher, Nature 397 (1999) 410.
10. V. Emery and S. Kivelson, Nature 374 (1995) 434.
11. A. Mourachkine, Europh. Lett. 47 (2000) 86.
12. A. Mourachkine, Physica C 323 (1999) 137.
13. N. Miyakawa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1018.
14. A. Mourachkine, to be published.
15. A. Mourachkine, J. Low Temp. Physics 117 (1999) 401.
16. V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson, and O. Zachar, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 6120.
17. A. Mourachkine, to be published in J. Superconductivity (also cond-mat/9904445).
18. A. Mourachkine, cond-mat/9906358.
19. A. Mourachkine, cond-mat/9911418.
20. N. Tralshawala et al, Phys. Rev. B 44 (1991) 12102.
21. B. Stadlober et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 4911.